Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/505,319	CALDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MEKONEN BEKELE	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>MEKONEN BEKELE</u> .	(3)	
(2) George Dolina .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 October 2008	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1,5,12		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/MEKONEN BEKELE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624		
(A <sub>I</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called and suggested to applicant's representative to amend claim 12 to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 rejection for allowance. Applicant's representative disagreed with the examiner suggestion.